

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/SE2005/000032

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCTNOTIFICATION OF THE RECORDING
OF A CHANGE(PCT Rule 92bis.1 and
Administrative Instructions, Section 422)

To:

HASSELGREN, Joakim
Ericsson AB
Patent Unit LTE
S-164 80 Stockholm
SUÈDE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01 September 2006 (01.09.2006)	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
Applicant's or agent's file reference P19190WO	
International application No. PCT/SE2005/000032	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)

1. The following indications appeared on record concerning: <input type="checkbox"/> the applicant <input type="checkbox"/> the inventor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the agent <input type="checkbox"/> the common representative		
Name and Address MAGNUSSON, Monica Ericsson AB Patent Unit Radio Networks S-164 80 Stockholm Sweden	State of Nationality	State of Residence
	Telephone No. +46 8 757 0000	
	Facsimile No. +46 8 764 1514	
	Teleprinter No.	
2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that the following change has been recorded concerning: <input type="checkbox"/> the person <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the name <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the address <input type="checkbox"/> the nationality <input type="checkbox"/> the residence		
Name and Address HASSELGREN, Joakim Ericsson AB Patent Unit LTE S-164 80 Stockholm Sweden	State of Nationality	State of Residence
	Telephone No. +46 8 757 0000	
	Facsimile No. +46 8 764 1514	
	Teleprinter No.	
3. Further observations, if necessary:		
4. A copy of this notification has been sent to: <input type="checkbox"/> the receiving Office <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the designated Offices concerned <input type="checkbox"/> the International Searching Authority <input type="checkbox"/> the elected Offices concerned <input type="checkbox"/> the International Preliminary Examining Authority <input type="checkbox"/> other:		

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Authorized officer Sangare Mamadou Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Telephone No. +41 22 338 81 51
---	--

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P19190WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/SE2005/000032	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 16 January 2004 (16.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (PUBL)		

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 17 July 2006 (17.07.2006)
	Authorized officer Philippe Becamel e-mail: pt12@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

REC'D 04 MAY 2005

To:

Ericsson AB Patent Unit
Radion Networks
164 80 Stockholm
Sverige

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

27 -04- 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

P19190WO

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000032

International filing date (day/month/year)

14.01.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

16.01.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

H05K 5/02

Applicant

Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE
Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Leif Vingård / JA A

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000032

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000032

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: US, A, 2.441.206 (P. PEVNEY)

D2: US, A, 4.478.437 (W. O. SKINNER)

The cited documents represent the general state of the art.
The invention defined in claims 1-12 is not disclosed by any
of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would
lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed sealing
element and method for sealingly mounting a cable.
Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person
skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-12 is novel
and is considered to involve an inventive step. The
invention is industrially applicable.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000032

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawing or on the question whether the claim are fully supported by the description, are made:

Some reference signs in the text refer to different or to differently denominated constructional details of the claimed matter. In claim 1, e.g., reference sign 27 refers to both the "sealing element" as a whole and to the "flexible connecting part" between and connecting the cylindrical end parts 24 and 26. Also, in the description, detail 23 has three different denominations; "gaiter-like element" on p.4, lines 17-18, "gaiter element" on p. 4, line 20 and "sealing element" on p. 5, line 17. From combining these two examples further follows that the claimed "sealing element" comprises two different "sealing elements", namely detail 23 as well as detail 27. Also, are the "connecting means at the cable end" in the abstract the same details as "the two contact devices" on p. 5, line 1, also denominated "connection device" on p. 5, line 9? Thus, making the same reference sign referring to different or differently denominated details makes the content of said text unclear, thereby violating, e.g., PCT Articles 5 and 6.

Furthermore, the number of reference signs is on the low side and should preferably be increased.